



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/759,272	01/20/2004	Chuan-Kung Hou	320528568US	6843
25996	7590	11/07/2008		
PERKINS COIE LLP				
PATENT-SEA				
P.O. BOX 1247				
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247				
EXAMINER				
WANG, KIENT F				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2622				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/07/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/759,272		Applicant(s) HOU, CHUAN-KUNG	
	Examiner KENT WANG		Art Unit 2622	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KENT WANG.

(3) Tuan Ho.

(2) Joe Brennan.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 21, 27 and 38.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sato, Lee, Dobbins and Shimizu.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Regarding claims 21 and 38, it was agreed to amend and emphase that the end of the first and second axes are configured to be operably coupled to the portable electronic device, as it will further distinguish the invention in view of cited prior arts and make to allowable. Agreement with respect to the claim 27 was not reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Tuan V' Ho/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622	
-------------------------------------------------	--